# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

1.NICOLE STONE, an individual,	
Plaintiff, )	
vs. )	Case No. 17-CV-540-CVE-FHM
1.GLOVER NISSAN, LLC,	
d/b/aJIMGLOVER NISSAN,	
adomestic limited liability company,	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
)	ATTORNEY LIEN CLAIMED FOR
Defendant.	THE FIRM

## **COMPLAINT**

**COMES NOW,** the Plaintiff, Nicole Stone ("Plaintiff"), through her attorney of record, Charles C. Vaught of Armstrong & Vaught, P.L.C. and brings this action pursuant to Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000e, *et seq*. for pregnancy discrimination committed by Defendant.

## **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 1. Jurisdiction is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1367(a). In particular, jurisdiction is premised on a violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000e, *et seq*.
- 2. Declaratory and equitable relief are sought pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2201 and 28 U.S.C. § 2202, and compensatory and punitive damages are sought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 2000e, *et seq*.
- 3. Costs and attorneys' fees may be awarded pursuant to Rule 54 of the Federal Rules of Civil
- . Proceoureaiidtheaoove.statutes.
- 4. This Court has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this action, and this action properly lies in the Northern District of Oklahoma, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 28 U.S.C.

- § 1391(b), because the unlawful employment practices alleged herein arose in this judicial district.
- 5. Plaintiff is, and was at all times relevant hereto, a resident of the State of Oklahoma, residing in Tulsa, Oklahoma.
- 6. The acts and/or omissions giving rise to this lawsuit occurred in Tulsa, Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma.
- 7. In conformance with Title VII statutory prerequisites, Plaintiff submitted pre-charge information to the United States Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC"). Subsequently, Plaintiff submitted a Charge of Discrimination to the EEOC. The EEOC completed its investigation and issued a Notice of Right to Sue on July 13, 2017 (attached as Exhibit A hereto and hereby incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein).
- 8. Defendant is an employer as defined by 42 U.S.C. § 2000e(b), in that it was a private employer engaged in an industry affecting commerce who has fifteen or more employees for each working day in each of twenty or more calendar weeks in the current or preceding calendar year
- 9. Plaintiff was at all times relevant hereto an employee as defined by 42 U.S.C. § 2000e (f), in that she was employed by an employer.

## **OPERATIVE FACTS**

- 10. Plaintiff is a member of a protected class, to wit: a pregnant female.
- lLPiaintiffwa&hired\_bylimGlover Nissan, formerly Bob Moore Nissan, as a Parts Delivery Driver in October of 2015.
- 12. On December 21, 2015, Plaintiff found out she was six weeks pregnant.

- 13. On December 22, 2015, Plaintiff informed John Dance, Parts Manager, that she was pregnant.
  Mr. Dance responded by instructing Plaintiff that when she is delivering parts, she can go to her doctor's appointment and then finish delivering parts.
- 14. During her 6th or 7th month of pregnancy, Plaintiff informed Mr. Dance that she expected to be able to work until her due date or when her doctor took her off work. She also informed Mr. Dance that during an earlier pregnancies, she got "extremely big" and therefore was unable to drive, but went on to assure Mr. Dance that this pregnancy may be different.
- 15. On February 8, 2016, an employee named Taylor Moon was fired by Chuck Zacharies, Cashier and Lube Tech Manager, from her cashier position at Jim Glover Nissan due to her always being on her phone and calling into work.
- 16. On February 10, 2016, Plaintiff arrived at work and was immediately greeted by Mr. Dance.
  Mr. Dance informed Plaintiff that she was being terminated, but that she would spend her last week training her replacement. Plaintiff inquired as to whom her replacement was, to which Mr. Dance introduced Ms. Moon as her replacement.
- 17. Plaintiff believes that she was discriminated against on the basis of her gender, to wit, a pregnant female, in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964.

#### FIRST CLAIM

## (Pregnancy Discrimination in Violation of Title VII)

- 18. Plaintiff incorporates and re-alleges the foregoing paragraphs as though fully set forth herein and would further state as follows:
- 19 Thisclaimismadeagainst Defendant, Jitn Glover Nissan.
- 20. That, as a member of a protected class, to wit: a pregnant female, Plaintiff is protected by the provisions of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e *et seq.*;

- 21. That the disparate treatment of Plaintiff by Defendant and its employees and/or agents was a direct result of discrimination on the basis of her protected status, to wit: a pregnant female, as prohibited by Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e et seq.;
- 22. That Plaintiff was unfairly treated and that the motivating reason for this treatment is based on her protected status, to wit: a pregnant female, as prohibited by Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e *et seq.*;
- 23. That Defendant ratified the acts of its agents and employees by failing to take remedial action upon notice by Plaintiff of the circumstances or by allowing the acts to occur after receiving actual or constructive notice of those acts;
- 24. That the conduct complained of constitutes illegal gender discrimination in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e *et seq*.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that the Defendant appear and answer this Complaint, and that this Court: (1) declare the conduct engaged in by the Defendant to be in violation of Plaintiff's rights; (2) enjoin the Defendant from engaging in such conduct; (3) enter a judgment for Plaintiff in an amount in excess of \$100,000.00 plus interest, costs, attorney fees, and compensatory and punitive damages as provided for by applicable law; (4) order Plaintiffs be reinstated to his former position, or receive front pay in lieu thereof; and (5) grant Plaintiff such other and further relief as this Court may deem just, proper and equitable.

Respectfully submitted,

## ARMSTRONG & VAUGHT, P.L.C.

By: s/Charles C. Vaught
Charles C. Vaught, OBA #19962
2727 East 21st Street, Suite 505
Tulsa, OK 74114
(918) 582-2500 - telephone
(918) 583-1755 -facsimile
cvaught@a-vlaw.com
Attorney for Plaintiff

EEOC Form 161 (11/16)

U.S.,.\_QUALEMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COM1,.,Js10N

## DISMISSAL AND NOTICE OF RIGHTS

To: Nicole Renee Stone 1220 W. Jackson St. Broken Arrow, OK74012

Tulsa, OK 74104-4626

From: Oklahoma City Area Office 215 Dean A. McGee Avenue Suite 524 Oklahoma City, OK 73102

D		person(s) aggrieved whose identity is TIAL (29CFR §1601.7/a))		
EEOC Charge No. EEOC Representative			Telephone No.	
		Tandi J. Dillard,		
564-201	5-01386	Investigator	(405) 231-4317	
	OC IS CLOSING ITS FIL	LE ON THIS CHARGE FOR THE F	OLLOWING REASON:	
D	The facts alleged in th	e charge fail to state a claim under ar	ny of the statules enforced by the EEOC.	
D	Your allegations did not involve a disability as defined by the Americans With Disabilities Act.			
D	The Respondent employs less than the required number of employees or is not other, vise covered by the statutes.			
D	Your charge was not timely filed with EEOC; in other words, you waited too long after the date(s) of the alleged discrimination to file your charge			
[RJ	J The EEOC issues the following determination: Based upon its investigation, the EEOC is unable to conclude that the information obtained establishes violations of the statutes. This does not certify that the respondent is in compliance with the statutes. No finding is made as to any other issues that might be construed as having been raised by this charge.			
D	The EEOC has adopted the findings of the state or local fair employment practices agency that investigated this charge.			
D	Other (briefly state)			
- NOTICE OF SUIT RIGHTS - (See the additional information attached to this form.)				
<b>Discrimir</b> You may t lawsuit <b>m</b> e	ation in Employment file a lawsuit against the ust be filed WITHIN 90	Act: This will be the only notice of respondent(s) under federal law	mation Nondiscrimination Act, or the Age f dismissal and of your right to sue that we will send you. based on this charge in federal or state court. Your notice; or your right to sue based on this charge will be ay be different.)	
alleged EF		neans that <mark>backpay due for any v</mark>	rt within 2 years (3 years for willful violations) of the iolations that occurred more than 2 years (3 years)	
On behal\_i the Commission  Ollio- 'LJ} {  Suly 13, 2017  Cocfr,ctosure-S(s\				
Area Office Director				
F A	Ar. Phil Frazier Frazier & Phillips Attorneys at Law 424 Terrace Drive	U'	Mr. David Blades ARMSTRONG & VAUGHT PC 2727 E. 21st Street, Suite 505 Tulsa, OK 74114	

**EXHIBIT** 

## Case 4:17-cv-00540-CVE-FHM Document 2 Filed in USDC ND/OK on 09/25/17 Page 7 of 7

Enclosure with EEOC Form 161 (11/16)

## INFORMATION RELATED TO FILING SUIT UNDER THE LAWS ENFORCED BY THE EEOC

(This infonnation relates to filing suit in Federal or State cowl <u>under Federal law</u>.

If you also plan to sue claiming violations of State law, please be aware that time limits and other provisions of State law may be shorter or more limited than those described below.)

#### **PRIVATE SUIT RIGHTS**

Title VII of the Civil Rights Act, the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), the Genetic Information Nondiscrimination Act (GINA), or the Age Discrimination in Employment Act (ADEA):

In order to pursue this matter further, you must file a lawsuit against the respondent(s) named in the charge <u>within</u> <u>90 days</u> of the date you *receive* this Notice. Therefore, you should keep a record of this date. Once this 90-day period is over, your right to sue based on the charge referred to in this Notice will be lost. If you intend to consult an attorney, you should do so promptly. Give your attorney a copy of this Notice, and its envelope, and tell him or her the date you received it. Furthermore, in order to avoid any question that you did not act in a timely manner, it is prudent that your suit be filed within 90 days of the date this Notice was *mailed* to you (as indicated where the Notice is signed) or the date of the postmark, if later.

Your lawsuit may be filed in U.S. District Court or a State court of competent jurisdiction. (Usually, the appropriate State court is the general civil trial court.) Whether you file in Federal or State court is a matter for you to decide after talking to your attorney. Filing this Notice is not enough. You must file a "complaint" that contains a short statement of the facts of your case which shows that you are entitled to relief. Courts often require that a copy of your charge must be attached to the complaint you file in court. If so, you should remove your birth date from the charge. Some courts will not accept your complaint where the charge includes a date of birth. Your suit may include any matter alleged in the charge or, to the extent permitted by court decisions, matters like or related to the matters alleged in the charge. Generally, suits are brought in the State where the alleged unlawful practice occurred, but in some cases can be brought where relevant employment records are kept, where the employment would have been, or where the respondent has its main office. If you have simple questions, you usually can get answers from the office of the clerk of the court where you are bringing suit, but do not expect that office to write your complaint or make legal strategy decisions for you.

## PRIVATE SUIT RIGHTS Equal Pay Act (EPA):

EPA suits must be filed in court within 2 years (3 years for willful violations) of the alleged EPA underpayment: back pay due for violations that occurred **more than 2 years (3 years) before you file suit** may not be collectible. For example, if you were underpaid under the EPA for work performed from 7/1/08 to 12/1/08, you should file suit before 7/1/10 - not 12/1/10 -- in order to recover unpaid wages due for July 2008. This time limit for filing an EPA suit is separate from the 90-day filing period under Title **VII**, the ADA, GINA or the ADEA referred to above. Therefore, if you also plan to sue under Title VII, the ADA, GINA or the ADEA, in addition to suing on the EPA claim, suit must be filed within 90 days of this Notice and within the 2- or 3-year EPA back pay recovery period.

## ATTORNEY REPRESENTATION •• Title VII, the ADA or GINA:

If you cannot afford or have been unable to obtain a lawyer to represent you, the U.S. District Court having jurisdiction in your case may, in limited circumstances, assist you in obtaining a lawyer. Requests for such assistance must be made to the U.S. District Court in the form and manner it requires (you should be prepared to explain in detail your efforts to retain an attorney). Requests should be made well before the end of the 90-day period mentioned above, because such requests do <u>not</u> relieve you of the requirement to bring suit within 90 days.

#### A.1roRNEYREFERRALANDEEOCAss1sT11.Nc1: •.. All Statutes:

You may contact the EEOC representative shown on your Notice if you need help in finding a lawyer or if you have any questions about your legal rights, including advice on which U.S. District Court can hear your case. If you need to inspect or obtain a copy of information in EEOC's file on the charge, please request it promptly in writing and provide your charge number (as shown on your Notice). While EEOC destroys charge files after a certain time, all charge files are kept for at least 6 months after our last action on the case. Therefore, if you file suit and want to review the charge file, **please make your review request within 6 months** of this Notice. (Before filing suit, any request should be made within the next 90 days.)